

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/689,774	10/20/2003	Jamy Gannoe	514362000701	4541	
75	90 02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
Howard Hugh			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
6060 Center Dri Tenth Floor	ive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	A 90045		3731		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,	Sip
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>/*</del> -
	10/689,774	GANNOE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin T. Truong	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communibation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Exam	d/or election requirement.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	је
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/15/05;11/28/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	?)

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,194.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively broad subject matter claimed in the instant application such as method for attaching a magnet anchor to a stomach wall, which would have been obvious in view of the relatively detailed subject matter of the patent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3731

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Imran et al. (U.S. 6,535,764).

As to claims 1-5 and 8, Note in figures 15-17 and col. 12, lines 19-55, a method for attaching a magnetic anchor (123) to a stomach wall (100) by suturing (131), wherein accessing the stomach wall (100) by using an endoscope (110).

As to claims 6, 7, and 9, wherein the step of accessing the stomach wall (100) as shown in Imran et al device, which is inherently capable of making a small incision (less than eight inches in length) in a linea alba. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Deem et al. (U.S. 6,558,400) discloses a obesity treatment tools.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin T. Truong

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

ktt